

9 AUGUST 2000



Command Policy

**COMPLIANCE AND STANDARDIZATION
REQUIREMENTS LIST (C&SRL)--
SECURITY FORCES STANDARDS AND
PROCEDURES**

OPR: HQ ACC/SFOP
(MSGt Daniel M. Dixon, Sr.)
OCR: ACC IGS/CC (Col James D. Wessler)
Supersedes ACCDIR 90-259, 22 December 1995

Certified by: HQ ACC/SF
(Col Donal J. Collins)

Pages: 5
Distribution: F

This directory implements AFD 90-2, *Inspector General--The Inspection System*; and ACCI 90-202, *ACC Compliance and Standardization Requirements List (C&SRL) Program*. **Table 1.** of this directory lists compliance items in support of guidance in AFI 31-201, *Security Police Standards and Procedures*, and related ACC Supplement 1. These publications provide policy direction on general Security Forces duties and law enforcement operations. Units may supplement this directory to add internal compliance items. It applies to all active ACC units. This directory does not apply to Air National Guard or Air Force Reserve Command units/members. Send comments and suggested improvements to this directory on AF Form 847, **Recommendation for Change of Publication**. Send to HQ ACC/SFO, 220 Sweeney Boulevard, Suite 112, Langley AFB VA 23665-2796.

SUMMARY OF REVISIONS

This document has been substantially revised and should be completely reviewed.

1. General. The items listed do not constitute the order or limit the scope of the inspection/assessment. As a minimum, units should use these directories in conjunction with the annual Unit Self-Assessment. The objective is to identify deficiencies that preclude attainment of required capabilities. Units can supplement this publication to add internal compliance items. Higher headquarters may use this directory in whole or in part during visits or exercises. Users may add any item(s) that, in the exercise of good judgment, requires examination.

1.1. Critical Compliance Objectives (CCO). Items defined by the ACC staff as key result areas for successful mission accomplishment including, but not limited to, items where noncompliance could result in serious injury, loss of life, excessive cost, or litigation. CCOs are shown in **BOLD AND ALL CAPS FORMAT**.

1.2. Core Compliance Items (CCI). Areas that require special vigilance and are important to the overall performance of the unit, but are not deemed “critical.” Noncompliance would result in some negative impact on mission performance or could result in injury, unnecessary cost, or possible litigation. CCIs are shown in ALL CAPS FORMAT.

1.3. General Compliance Items (GCI). Areas deemed fundamental to successful overall performance of the unit, but noncompliance would result in minimal impact on mission accomplishment or would be unlikely to result in injury, increased cost, or possible litigation. GCIs are shown in sentence case format.

Table 1. Security Forces Standards and Procedures.

ITEM NO.	ITEM	REFERENCES
CCO-1	HAS THE INSTALLATION CHIEF OF SECURITY FORCES DESIGNED PROGRAMS OR DEVELOPED STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES TO PROTECT THE PERSONNEL AND RESOURCES ASSIGNED OR ATTACHED TO THE INSTALLATION?	AFI 31-201, PARA 1.5.
1.1.	ARE ALL FIXED SECURITY POSTS CONNECTED TO THE LAW ENFORCEMENT DESK OR CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL BY DIAL OR DIRECT TELEPHONE LINES?	AFI 31-201, PARA 4.6.
1.2.	Has the unit developed a standardized communications procedure for operating land mobile radio systems which addresses use of standard response codes, duress procedures, and encryption procedures, where applicable?	AFI 31-201, para 4.5.
1.3.	ARE NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES PERMANENTLY ASSIGNED TO PERFORM LAW ENFORCEMENT FUNCTIONS EQUIPPED WITH AT LEAST THE MINIMUM REQUIRED WARNING LIGHT SYSTEM, SIREN SYSTEM, PUBLIC ADDRESS SYSTEM, SPOTLIGHT, LAND MOBILE RADIO, FIRST AID KIT, FIRE EXTINGUISHER, AND BLOODBORNE PATHOGEN PROTECTIVE KIT?	AFI 31-201, PARA 4.7.
1.4.	Are all vehicles marked to comply with TO 36-1-3, <i>Painting, Marking, and Lighting Requirements for USAF Vehicles</i> ?	AFI 31-201, para 4.8.
1.5.	Are the speedometers of all unit traffic patrol vehicles calibrated at least once each six months (or sooner if local laws are more stringent)?	AFI 31-201, para 4.9.
1.6.	Are all operational Security Forces vehicles inspected at the beginning of each tour of duty for safety and maintenance deficiencies?	AFI 31-201, para 4.10.
1.7.	Has written policy been developed to govern the use and control over vehicle-installed video surveillance systems, where applicable?	AFI 31-201, para 4.11.
1.8.	Are unit members knowledgeable of jurisdictions applied to their base?	AFI 31-201, para 6.1.

ITEM NO.	ITEM	REFERENCES
1.9.	Are patrolmen familiar with the situations and conditions in which pursuit driving is authorized? Is local training provided and documented?	AFI 31-201, para 6.7.; ACC Sup 1, para 6.7.3.5.
1.10.	Are personnel performing law enforcement duties familiar with the differences between a probable cause search, a search incident to apprehension, and a search with consent?	AFI 31-201, para 8.2. - 8.4.
1.11.	Is the local Staff Judge Advocate (SJA) consulted prior to conducting off installation searches outside the United States, US Commonwealths, and US Territories?	AFI 31-201, para 8.8.
1.12.	Are law enforcement personnel familiar with their installation's procedures for conducting the search of a foreign national?	AFI 31-201, para 8.9.
1.13.	Following final disposition of a case, is all evidence returned to its rightful owner? Has SJA coordinated on the release of evidence?	AFI 31-201, para 8.11.
1.14.	Have Air Force Law Enforcement Terminal System (AFLETS) operators received the appropriate training?	AFI 31-201, para 9.4.
1.15.	Are requests for criminal history data from outside agencies (other than the Air Force Office of Special Investigations) submitted in writing? Are Privacy Act requirements followed when disclosing data? Are AFLETS documents that are no longer needed shredded?	AFI 31-201, para 9.6.; ACC Sup 1, para 9.5.1.
1.16.	Have units with an emergency services team (EST) pursued initial training and certification for all of its members?	AFI 31-201, para 11.12.
1.17.	If a unit's EST employs MWD teams, do they participate in normal EST training?	AFI 31-201, para 11.13.
CCO-2	HAS THE UNIT DEVELOPED A BLOOD-BORNE PATHOGEN EXPOSURE CONTROL PLAN THAT COVERS ALL OF THE REQUIRED KEY ELEMENTS, AS A MINIMUM, THAT IS READILY AVAILABLE TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE UNIT?	AFI 31-201, ATCH 4, PARA 4.1.

ITEM NO.	ITEM	REFERENCES
2.1.	IS THE EXPOSURE CONTROL PLAN REVIEWED AT LEAST ANNUALLY AND UPDATED AS NECESSARY TO REFLECT NEW OR MODIFIED EXPOSURE DETERMINATIONS?	AFI 31-201, ATCH 4, PARA 4.2.
2.2.	ARE TRAINED SECURITY FORCES PERSONNEL IDENTIFIED IN THE EXPOSURE DETERMINATION IN THE USE OF PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT AND DISPOSITION OF POSSIBLY CONTAMINATED MATERIALS?	AFI 31-201, ATCH 4, PARA 4.3.
2.3.	DO QUALIFIED SECURITY FORCES OR HOSPITAL PERSONNEL CONDUCT THE TRAINING IDENTIFIED IN THE PREVIOUS ITEM NUMBER?	AFI 31-201, ATCH 4, PARA 4.3.
CCO-3	ARE PERSONNEL WHO USE HANDCUFFS, CHEMICAL IRRITANT PROJECTORS, BATONS, AND FIREARMS SPECIFICALLY TRAINED IN THEIR USE?	AFI 31-201, PARA 7.6.

JOHN P. JUMPER, General, USAF
Commander